# Evaluation of Synthetic FK506 Analogues as Ligands for the FK506Binding Proteins 51 and 52 

Ranganath Gopalakrishnan, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Christian Kozany, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Steffen Gaali, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Christoph Kress, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Bastiaan Hoogeland, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Andreas Bracher, ${ }^{*}$ and Felix Hausch* ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger}$<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Kraepelinstrasse 2, 80804 Munich, Germany<br>${ }^{\ddagger}$ Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18, 82152 Martinsried, Germany

(S) Supporting Information


#### Abstract

The FK506-binding proteins (FKBP) 51 and 52 are cochaperones that modulate the signal transduction of steroid hormone receptors. Both proteins have been implicated in prostate cancer. Furthermore, single nucleotide polymorphisms in the gene encoding FKBP51 have been associated with a variety of psychiatric disorders. Rapamycin and FK506 are two macrocyclic natural products that bind to these proteins indiscriminately but with nanomolar affinity. We here report the cocrystal structure of FKBP51 with a simplified $\alpha$-ketoamide analogue derived from FK506 and the first  structure-activity relationship analysis for FKBP51 and FKBP52 based on this compound. In particular, the tert-pentyl group of this ligand was systematically replaced by a cyclohexyl ring system, which more closely resembles the pyranose ring in the high-affinity ligands rapamycin and FK506. The interaction with FKBPs was found to be surprisingly tolerant to the stereochemistry of the attached cyclohexyl substituents. The molecular basis for this tolerance was elucidated by X-ray cocrystallography.


## INTRODUCTION

Immunosuppressant natural products like FK506 (Figure 1a) and rapamycin bind with high affinity to immunophilins of the FKBP (FK506 binding protein) family, which often also possess peptidyl-propyl isomerase (PPIase) activity. The bestcharacterized member of the FKBP family is FKBP12, a 12 kDa protein, which consists only of the FK506-binding domain. FKBP12-FK506 and FKBP12-rapamycin complexes create binding surfaces for binding to calcineurin ( CaN ) and mTOR, respectively. ${ }^{1}$ The inhibition of the latter proteins mediates the immunosuppressive action of the two natural products. FKBP12 has also been shown to modulate the ryanodine receptor (RyR) channels and to bind to the transforming growth factor $\beta$ receptor I. FK506 inhibits these interactions consistent with a shared common binding site. ${ }^{2}$

The higher molecular weight FKBP homologues FKBP51 and FKBP52 act as cochaperones for the heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90). In the Hsp90 heterocomplex, FKBP51 and FKBP52 have been shown to modulate signal transduction by the glucocorticoid receptor in a mutually antagonistic direction. ${ }^{3-5}$ FK506 was shown to inhibit the proliferation of prostate cancer cells. This was attributed to blockade of the enhancing effect of FKBP51 on the androgen receptor in these cells. ${ }^{6,7}$ Numerous human genetic studies have shown that single nucleotide polymorphisms in the gene encoding FKBP51 are associated with a variety of psychiatric disorders. ${ }^{8}$ Very recently, several independent studies using knockdown and knockout mice strongly supported an important role of FKBP51 in stress-
coping behavior. ${ }^{9-12}$ These findings have rendered FKBP51 as a novel target for treatment of psychiatric disorders. ${ }^{49}$ However, neither FK506 nor rapamycin can be used as a tool to investigate the roles of individual $\mathrm{FKBPs}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in mammalian systems due to strong off-target effects and lack of selectivity. Thus, nonimmunosuppressive and selective inhibitors for the large FKBP homologues FKBP51 and FKBP52 are required.

At the end of the last millennium, various subclasses of highaffinity FKBP12 ligands were described, which were devoid of the immunosuppressive activity present in FK506 and rapamycin. ${ }^{13,14} \alpha$-Ketoamide derivatives without the effector region were the most widely studied series exemplified by compound $2 a^{15}$ (Figure 1). For FKBP12, the tert-pentyl group in 2a was found to be a good surrogate for the pyranose group in FK506 and rapamycin. ${ }^{16}$ While the high affinity of the natural products FK506 and rapamycin was retained for the larger FKBPs, the binding affinity of 2a for the larger FKBPs was substantially weaker. ${ }^{17}$ We thus first set out for a basic characterization of the structure-activity relationship of 2 a . To analyze the interactions with the 80 s loop in more detail, we then substituted the tert-pentyl group in $\mathbf{2 a}$ with cyclohexyl analogues, which more closely mimic the pyranose group in the high-affinity natural product ligands (Figure 1c).

[^0]a)

b)

$\underline{2 a}$
c)


3
d)

e)


Figure 1. Natural and synthetic FKBP ligands: (a) Structure of FK506 (1), (b) prototypic synthetic ligand of FKBPs 2a, which is devoid of immunosuppressive activity (hydrophobic contacts with FKBP51 are indicated in green, and hydrogen bonds are represented as pink dotted lines), (c) prototypic cyclohexyl-substituted ligand 3, and ( d and e) binding mode of 2a with FKBP51. (d) Surface representation of FKBP51 in complex with 2 a (green). FK506 bound to FKBP51 (3O5R) is superimposed in yellow. (e) Ribbon representation of FKBP51 showing the conserved Hbonds between $\mathrm{O}^{1}-2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{Ile}^{87}$ (dark blue) and between $\mathrm{O}^{8}-2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ (red) as black dotted lines. Leu ${ }^{119}$ and $\mathrm{Pro}^{120}$ at the top of the 80 s loop are colored in cyan. The dipolar interaction between $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-carbonyl is shown as a dotted line in magenta.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Crystal Structure of the 2a-FKBP51 Complex. As a structural starting point for a rational design the cocrystal structure of 2a, the only synthetic ligand known for FKBP51, was solved in complex with the FK506-binding domain of FKBP51 at $1.5 \AA$ resolution (Figure 1d,e). Upon binding of compound 2a, FKBP51 adopts a very similar conformation as found in the FK506 complex ${ }^{18}$ (Figure 1d). Most active site residues are virtually superimposable in the two cocrystal structures. As compared to the FK506 complex (3O5R), Phe ${ }^{77}$ moves into the binding pocket, while Asp ${ }^{68}$ and the tip of the 80s loop ( $\mathrm{Leu}^{119}-\mathrm{Lys}^{122}$ ) move outward in the FKBP51-2a complex, the latter in part due to crystal contacts with a neighboring FKBP51 molecule.

The core interactions of FK506 are conserved for 2a with the common pipecolate ring sitting atop the indole of $\mathrm{Trp}^{90}$, which forms the floor of the FKBP binding pocket. The $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-carbonyl of the pipecolate forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone amide of $\mathrm{Ile}^{87}(d=2.92 \AA)$, while the $\mathrm{C}^{8}$-carbonyl of the $\alpha$ ketoamide engages in a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group of $\operatorname{Tyr}^{113}(d=2.65 \AA)$. The latter approaches the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-carbonyl at an angle of $107^{\circ}$ and below van der Waals distance ( $3.17 \AA$ ), consistent with an attractive dipolar interaction. ${ }^{19}$ The $\mathrm{C}^{9}$-keto oxygen of 2a occupies a position similar to the keto group of FK506, while the hydrogen bond with Asp ${ }^{68}$ seen in 3O5R is
no longer conserved because of the absence of the corresponding hydroxyl group in compound 2a. The tertpentyl group of compound 2a sits in pocket formed by the 80s loop ( $\operatorname{Ser}^{118}$ - Ile ${ }^{122}$ ), which is occupied by the pyranose group of FK506 in the FK506-FKBP51 complex. As compared to a similar compound (SB3) in a complex with FKBP12 $\left(1 \mathrm{FKG}^{16}\right)$, the ethyl of the tert-pentyl group is rotated by $180^{\circ}$ and faces the 80 s loop. The dimethoxyaryl group (ring A) of 2a sits in a cradle formed by residues $\mathrm{Gly}^{84}{ }^{84} \mathrm{Il}^{87}$ and $\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ and engages in van der Waals contacts with Glu ${ }^{20}$ from a neighboring FKBP51 molecule in the crystal. The acetyloxyaryl group (ring B) stacks on top of the edge of $\mathrm{Ph}^{77}$, and its carboxyl moiety forms electrostatically enhanced hydrogen bonds with Lys ${ }^{108}$ and $\mathrm{Arg}^{31}$ from a neighboring molecule.

Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) of the Pipecolate Core and Ester Substituent. So far, virtually nothing is known about the interaction of the large FKBPs with small molecule ligands. To the best of our knowledge, only one and three synthetic ligands have been described for FKBP51 and FKBP52, respectively. ${ }^{17,20,21}$

As a first characterization of the recognition properties of FKBP51 and FKBP52, we engaged on a basic SAR analysis of the prototypic ligand $\mathbf{2 a}$. The analogues of $\mathbf{2 a}$ (Table 1) were synthesized by esterification or by alkylation of the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ carboxylate of the building blocks $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a}$ d as outlined in Scheme

Table 1. FKBP Affinities of Synthetic FK506 Analogues

| Compd. | Structure | FKBP12 | FKBP51FK1 | FKBP52FK1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2a |  | $0.17 \pm 0.05$ | $8.36 \pm 0.98$ | $10.5 \pm 1.5$ |
| $\underline{2 b}$ |  | $0.80 \pm 0.05$ | $51.5 \pm 31.9$ | $41.6 \pm 15.8$ |
| 2c |  | $0.55 \pm 0.06$ | $32.73 \pm 12.3$ | $49.2 \pm 24.6$ |
| 2d |  | $1.29 \pm 0.14$ | >100 | >100 |
| $\underline{20}$ |  | $3.38 \pm 0.54$ | $>100$ | >100 |
| 6a |  | $17.1 \pm 2.7$ | $>100$ | >100 |
| 6b |  | $1.24 \pm 0.33$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 6c |  | $2.11 \pm 0.20$ | $>150$ | $>150$ |
| 6d |  | $2.45 \pm 0.44$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 6e |  | $0.10 \pm 0.02$ | $4.15 \pm 1.45$ | $2.8 \pm 1.10$ |
| 6f |  | $1.05 \pm 0.09$ | $15.34 \pm 1.94$ | $5.55 \pm 1.16$ |
| 69 |  | $0.10 \pm 0.05$ | $3.8 \pm 1.05$ | $1.07 \pm 0.84$ |
| 6h |  | $0.15 \pm 0.02$ | $19.3 \pm 6.6$ | $11.6 \pm 1.6$ |
| $\underline{6 i}$ |  | $0.017 \pm 0.020$ | $8.52 \pm 2.81$ | $7.37 \pm 3.28$ |
| 6 i |  | >100 | $>100$ | $>100$ |

${ }^{a}$ Binding affinities to FKBP12, FKBP51 (FK1 domain), and FKBP52 (FK1 domain) were determined by a fluorescence polarization assay. ${ }^{17}$

1 or Scheme S4 in the Supporting Information. The latter were prepared from the corresponding pipecolate analogues by N oxalylation, introduction of the tert-pentyl moiety followed by deprotection of the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ carboxylate (Scheme S3 in the Supporting Information). ${ }^{16}$ The 4,5-dehydropipecolate building block 4c was synthesized from allyl glycine in four steps (Schemes S2 and S3 in the Supporting Information). ${ }^{22}$ Building
block 5a was obtained in $98 \%$ enantiomeric excess and $94 \%$ yield by a Noyori-catalyzed enantioselective reduction of the known keto precursor 13a (Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information). ${ }^{15}$ Building blocks 5b (Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information) and 5c were synthesized as described. ${ }^{23}$

In an initial SAR analysis, we explored the contributions of individual substructures in 2a by first focusing on the pipecolate core. Replacement by a proline (2b) or a 4,5-dehydropipecolinic acid ( 2 c ) decreased the affinity for FKBPs 4-6-fold, while thiomorpholine-3-carboxylic acid (2d) abrogated detectable binding to the large FKBPs. Because even small changes at the core diminished affinity, we kept the pipecolate core constant in all further derivatives. We then replaced the pipecolate $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ ester by an amide ( $\mathbf{2 e}$ ), which completely abolished binding to larger FKBPs. This was anticipated since the additional hydrogen bond donor would point to the hydrophobic tert-pentyl group of 2 e when bound in a homologous binding mode as 2 a .

We next explored the requirements of the ester "top" group. Smaller substituents like in $\mathbf{6 a - d}$ resulted in analogues with 7100 -fold lower affinity for FKBP12 and no activity for larger FKBPs as compared to $2 \mathbf{a}$. Compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ (also called GPI$1046^{24}$ ) has been reported as one of the most potent and advanced inhibitors for FKBP12. Similar to the corresponding pipecolate analogue 6c, GPI-1046 (6b) had no binding to larger FKBPs and micromolar affinity to FKBP12 in the fluorescence polarization assay, which is consistent with the discrepancies previously observed for GPI-1046 by others. ${ }^{1}$ To eliminate the negative charge in $\mathbf{2 a}$, we exchanged the free acid moiety by a morpholine group (6e), which increased affinity 2-4-fold and induced a slight preference for FKBP52 vs FKBP51. A similar trend was also observed in a related sulfonamide pipecolate series. ${ }^{48}$ In contrast to the carboxylic acid analogue $2 \mathbf{b}$, the morpholine-containing proline derivative 6f retained detectable but 3 -fold reduced binding. Replacement of the oxyacetyl group in $\mathbf{6 g}$ by an amine resulted in a compound having similar affinity.

Finally, we replaced the tert-pentyl group with 3,4,5trimethoxyphenyl in 6h (Scheme S6 in the Supporting Information), which led to a 2 -fold decrease in affinity for FKBP51, while having equivalent binding for FKBP12 and FKBP52. Additionally, two FK506 analogues that had been evaluated in the clinic were tested for their binding to the larger FKBPs. ${ }^{1}$ Biricodar (VX-710, 6i) ${ }^{39}$ potently bound to FKBP12, while displaying moderate affinity for the larger FKBPs. In contrast, the related Timcodar (VX-853, 6j), which lacks the pipecolate core, had no binding affinity for any FKBPs, consistent with the SAR data observed above.

Exploration of Pyranose/tert-Pentyl Analogues. A three-dimensional alignment of FKBP12- and the FK506binding domains of FKBP51 and FKBP52 revealed that the core residues of the binding pockets are highly conserved. The largest differences were found in the adjacent 40 s and 80 s loops (residues 71-76 and 118-122 for FKBP51, respectively). The 80s loop of FKBP51 further contains Leu ${ }^{119}$, which is replaced by Pro ${ }^{119}$ in FKBP52. Cellular studies have shown the residue at position 119 to be a major functional determinant for the effect on steroid hormone receptors. ${ }^{25}$ Optimization of interactions with this part of the protein thus could impart selectivity and functional efficacy toward steroid hormone receptor for the large FKBPs. We therefore decided to investigate the interaction with this part of the protein in more detail.

Scheme 1. General Synthesis Protocol of Compounds 2a-d and 6a-h ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (a) DCC, DMAP, rt, 12h. (b) (i) DCC, DMAP, rt, 12 h . (ii) $20 \%$ TFA in DCM, rt, 6 h , (c) DIPEA, toluene, reflux, 40 h .
Scheme 2. General Synthesis Protocol of Diketo Acids 11a-e ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (a) L-Threonine, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{HCHO}, \mathrm{THF}, 5$ days. (b) MOMCI, DIPEA, DCM, 12 h . (c) TMS acetylene, $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$ h. (d) N -Bromosuccinimide, $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$, acetone, 2 h . (e) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right), \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 1: 1,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 1 h . (f) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, 6 h .

The X-ray structure of FK506 with FKBP12 (1FKJ), ${ }^{26}$ with the FK1 domains of FKBP51 (PDB code 3O5R) ${ }^{18}$ and FKBP52 (manuscript in preparation) revealed that the pyranose group in FK506 (1) contacts the 80s loop. SAR studies around the pyranose group have shown that the methyl group at $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ of FK506 analogues is important, while the pyranose ring oxygen is dispensable for binding to FKBP12. ${ }^{27-29}$ This is consistent with the FK506-FKBP51 cocrystal structure where the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$-methyl fills a small hydrophobic cavity, while the pyranose ring oxygen of FK506 does not seem to act as a hydrogen bond acceptor. ${ }^{18}$ The pyranose of FK506 further contains an exocyclic hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{C}^{10}$ that engages in a hydrogen bond with Asp ${ }^{68}$ of FKBP51. This could contribute to the higher affinity observed for the natural product. The 2a-FKBP51 cocrystal structure shows that the
tert-pentyl group in 2a occupies the same subpocket below the 80s loop as the pyranose ring in FK506. We therefore decided to replace the tert-pentyl group in 2a with cyclohexyl derivatives that more closely resembled the pyranose in the high-affinity ligand FK506 (1). The first series of compounds investigated had a methyl substituent (3a) at $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ as in FK506. The FK506FKBP51 crystal structure (3O5R) further revealed that the 80s subpocket in the large FKBPs is more open and has a potential hydrogen bond interaction partner ( $S^{118}$ ) in its vicinity. We therefore also prepared cyclohexyl analogues with larger or hydrophilic $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ substituents.

A four-step synthesis scheme for the $\alpha$-keto acids 11a,b and 11d,e was set up starting from the corresponding racemic cyclohexanones $\mathbf{8 a}$ or $\mathbf{8 b}$ (Scheme 2). Alternatively, for 11c, the enantiopure MOM-protected 2-hydroxymethyl cyclohexanone

Scheme 3. General Synthesis Protocol of Compounds 3a-ja

${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (a) (S)-1-Boc-piperidine-2-carboxylic acid, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KI}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. (b) 20\% TFA in DCM, rt, 2 h . (c) (S)-1-Fmoc-piperidine-2-carboxylic acid, DCC, DMAP, rt, 12 h . (d) $20 \%$ 4-methylpiperidine in DCM, rt, 4 h . (e) Compounds 11a-e, HATU, DIPEA, rt, 16 h . (f) (i) Compounds 11a-e, HATU, DIPEA, rt, 16 h; (ii) 20\% TFA in DCM, rt, 6 h.

8c was used. The latter was obtained in two steps from cyclohexanone by an organocatalyzed formylation. ${ }^{30,31}$ TMS acetylene was reacted with $\mathbf{8 a - c}$ to obtain the cis and trans diastereomers $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}^{32}$ (stereochemistry assigned by NMR $^{33}$ ) in nearly equal amounts, which could be separated using column chromatography. $N$-Bromosuccinimide was used to cleave the TMS group and introduce the bromide at the terminal alkynes $(\mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{e})^{34}$ followed by oxidation of the activated alkynes by $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ to yield the corresponding $\alpha$-keto esters. ${ }^{35-38}$ These were further hydrolyzed to give the $\alpha$-keto acids 11a,b and $11 d, \mathbf{e}$, as racemic mixtures, and enantiopure 11c.

The $\alpha$-keto acids (11a-e) were coupled with the pipecolic acid building blocks 12a-d as outlined in Scheme 3 to give compounds $3 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}$ and $3 \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ as mixture of diastereomers and $3 \mathbf{h}$ as a single pure diastereomer. The affinities for FKBPs were either tested as mixture of diastereomers ( $\mathbf{3 a - g}$ and $3 \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}$ ) or after diastereomeric separation using preparative HPLC (Table 2).

Introduction of the FK506-like cyclohexyl moiety in 3a increased affinity for FKBPs 2 -fold as compared to 2a, indicating that the cyclohexyl moiety might indeed better interact with the 80 s loop than the tert-pentyl group. We next explored the influence of the ester "top" group in the context of the cyclohexyl substituent. Removing the acetyloxyaryl ring (ring B) as in 3 c reduced the affinity for FKBPs by 6 -fold. This is in contrast to the results observed for the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$-ethyl analogue 3d and the corresponding tert-pentyl containing substance $\mathbf{6 d}$. Further shortening of the linker connecting the dimethoxyaryl moiety (ring A) as in $\mathbf{3 b}$ substantially decreased affinity for all FKBPs. This indicates that the linker length is critical for optimal positioning of the dimethoxyaryl moiety, at least in the cyclohexyl series. Similar to the tert-pentyl series, replacement of the carboxylate by a morpholine in compounds 3 e and 3 g increased affinity for FKBPs and induced a slight preference for FKBP52 as compared to FKBP51.

We next investigated the role of the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ substituent on the cyclohexyl moiety. The $\mathrm{C}^{11}$-methyl ( $\mathbf{3 a}^{*}$ ), $\mathrm{C}^{11}$-ethyl ( $3 \mathbf{f}^{*}$ ), and $\mathrm{C}^{11}$-hydroxylmethyl derivative ( $3 \mathbf{h}$ ) had similar binding for the larger FKBPs, while the affinity for FKBP12 was reduced. Importantly, however, we also found that the diastereomeric mixtures $3 \mathrm{i}^{*}$ and $3 \mathrm{j}^{*}$ had almost equivalent binding to FKBPs as their FK506-like counterparts $3 a^{*}$ and $3 \mathrm{f}^{*}$. This was somewhat surprising since in the "unnatural" diastereomers $3 \mathrm{i}^{*}$ and $3 j^{*}$, the Asp ${ }^{68}-\mathrm{HO}^{10}$ hydrogen bond and hydrophobic 80s loop contacts of the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ substituent are not possible at the same
time. To further investigate the influence of stereochemistry and the substitution pattern at the cyclohexyl ring in more detail, we separated the individual diastereomers 3a-1, 3a-2, 3i$1,3 i-2,3 f-1$, and $3 f-2$.

Again, these diastereomers had almost equivalent binding to the proteins. These observations led us to conclude that in linear FK506 analogues the stereochemistry around the pyranose group per se is not as important for activity as previously thought and that the 80s loop is flexible enough to accommodate the small stereo chemical changes in the active site.

Cocrystal Structures of $3 \mathrm{f}-1$ and $\mathbf{3 f - 2}$. To understand the unexpected binding of the noncanonical diastereomers, we solved the cocrystal structure of both $3 \mathrm{f}-1$ and $3 \mathrm{f}-\mathbf{2}$ with the FK506-binding domain of FKBP51 (Figure 2). Depending on whether the complexes were crystallized or the compounds were added to preformed crystals, different crystal forms were obtained. In both cocrystal lattices, the ligands engaged $\mathrm{Glu}^{20}$, $\mathrm{Arg}^{31}$, and Lys ${ }^{108}$ of a neighboring FKBP51 molecule, similar to the crystal contacts observed for 2 a (see above).

Upon binding of compound $\mathbf{3 f - 1}$ or 3f-2, FKBP51 adopts the same structure as found in FKBP51 complexed with 1 and 2a. Likewise, the binding modes for the pipecolate, the ester "top" group, and the $\alpha$-keto amide of $3 \mathrm{f}-1$ or 3 f - 2 were almost perfectly superimposable to those found for 2 a in complex with FKBP51. In particular, the hydrogen bond network and the dipolar interaction comprising $\mathrm{Ile}^{87}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{C}^{1}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Tyr}^{113}-\mathrm{OH}$, and $\mathrm{C}^{8}=\mathrm{O}$ are conserved. In $3 \mathrm{f}-1$, a hydrogen bond of $\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{OH}$ with $\operatorname{Asp}^{68}(d=2.75 \AA)$ is formed similar to the one observed for the pyranose group of FK506 (PDB code 3O5R). However, the cyclohexyl group in $\mathbf{3 f}-\mathbf{1}$ is slightly lifted out of the binding pocket and slightly rotated likely to relieve a steric clash of the larger $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ substituent. For the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ substituent, two orientations seem to be possible, which occupy similar positions like the ethyl group of the tert-pentyl moiety in 2a (Figure 2a). In the case of $3 \mathrm{f}-2$, the cyclohexyl moiety is rotated by $180^{\circ}$, which allows the $\mathrm{C}^{11}$ ethyl substituent to occupy almost an identical position as for $\mathbf{3 f}-\mathbf{1}$, indicating that this hydrophobic interaction might be rather important (Figure 2b). In this conformation, the hydrogen bond with Asp ${ }^{68}$ is no longer possible, but the $\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{OH}$ now forms water-mediated hydrogen bonds to $\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ and Ser ${ }^{118}$. This water network might provide the binding energy to compensate for the loss of the $\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{OH} \cdots \mathrm{Asp}^{68} \mathrm{H}$ bond.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 3f-1 and 3f-2 in the FK506-binding domain of FKBP51. The hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ and HN -Ile ${ }^{87}$ (shadowed blue) and between $\mathrm{O}^{8}$ and $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ (shadowed red) are represented as dotted black lines. The dipolar interaction between $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{113}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ carbonyl is indicated by a dotted pink line. Leu ${ }^{119}$ and Pro ${ }^{120}$ of the 80 s loop are indicated in cyan. (a) Binding mode of 3f-1 in the active site of FKBP51. The additional hydrogen bond between $\mathrm{HO}^{10}-3 \mathrm{f}-1$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Asp}^{68}$ (shadowed magenta) is shown as dotted black line. (b) Binding mode of $3 f-2$ in the active site of FKBP51. The hydrogen bond network formed by a water molecule (green) with Tyr ${ }^{113}$ and Ser ${ }^{118}$ (yellow) of FKBP51 and with $\mathrm{C}^{10}-\mathrm{OH}$ of 3f-2 complex is indicated by a dotted black line.

## CONCLUSION

This study for the first time describes a detailed SAR of ligands for the larger FKBPs 51 and 52. Although SAR of $\alpha$-ketoamides for FKBP 12 has been extensively documented, this is the first instance where a direct comparison of binding trends between FKBP12 and larger FKBPs have been studied. The X-ray cocrystal structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was obtained as the starting point, followed by a systematic exploration of the contributions of each substituent on affinity to FKBP51 or FKBP52. Larger top groups as in 2 a and 6 e were found to have better binding affinity, while the pipecolic core (2a) was found to be essential. The tert-pentyl group in 2a was further substituted by a cyclohexyl group, which mimicked the pyranose in FK506 and rapamycin. From the binding studies and X-ray cocrystal structure of the diasteromers ( $\mathbf{3 f} \mathbf{- 1}$ and 3f-2), we can conclude that the FKBPs are tolerant toward change of the stereochemistry around the cyclohexyl (pyranose) substituents, at least in a linear, unconstrained context. These cocrystal structures also suggest that multiple molecular binding modes are possible for the 80 s loop interaction, which is in line with the high flexibility of this region.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. Chromatographic separations were performed either by manual flash chromatography or by automated flash chromatography using an Interchim Puriflash 430 with an UV detector. Organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Merck F-254 (thickness 0.25 mm ) commercial plates were used for analytical TLC to follow the progress of reactions. Silica gel 60 (Merck 70-230 mesh) was used for manual column chromatography. Unless otherwise specified, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, 2D HSQC, HMBC, and COSY of all intermediates were obtained from the Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, LMU, on a Bruker AC 300, a Bruker XL 400, or a Bruker AMX 600 at room temperature. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are given in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Mass spectra $(m / z)$ were recorded on a Thermo Finnigan LCQ DECA XP Plus mass spectrometer at the Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, while the high-resolution mass spectrometry was carried out at MPI for Biochemistry (Microchemistry Core facility) on Varian Mat711 mass spectrometer. The purity of the compounds was verified by reversed phase HPLC. All of the final compounds synthesized and
tested have a purity of more than $95 \%$. The optical rotation for the compounds was obtained from the Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, LMU, on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter.

HPLC Conditions for Product Analysis. Column: Jupiter $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Proteo 90 A, $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA; wavelength: $224 \mathrm{~nm}, 280 \mathrm{~nm}$; flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; buffer A: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $5 \% \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water; buffer B: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water. Gradient A: after 1 min elution with $100 \%$ buffer A, linear gradient of $0-100 \%$ buffer B for 30 min .

LCMS Conditions for Product Analysis. Column: YMC Pack Pro C8, $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; wavelength: $224 \mathrm{~nm}, 280 \mathrm{~nm}$; flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; buffer A: $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water; buffer B: $0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ in $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water. Gradient A: $1 \mathrm{~min} 100 \%$ buffer A, then linear gradient of $0-100 \%$ buffer B for 11 min .

Preparative HPLC for Diasteromer Separation. The compounds were dissolved in $40 \%$ buffer B , and the purification was carried out with a injection loop volume of 2 mL . Column: Jupiter 10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ Proteo $90 \mathrm{~A}, 250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 21.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Phenomenex; wavelength: 224 nm ; flow rate: $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; buffer A: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water; buffer B: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $95 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water.

Synthesis of (S)-Methyl 1-(3,3-Dimethyl-2-oxopentanoyl)-piperidine-2-carboxylate (4a). The compound was prepared as described previously. ${ }^{16}$

Synthesis of (S)-Methyl 1-(3,3-Dimethyl-2-oxopentanoyl)-pyrrolidine-2-carboxylate (4b). The compound was prepared from the methyl ester of l-proline in an analogous manner to $\mathbf{4 a}$.

General Method A. A solution of alcohol 5a-c, carboxylic acid 4ad, and DMAP in DCM at room temperature was treated with DCC. After it was stirred for 12 h , the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered through a plug of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated, and the crude material was flash chromatographed to afford the product.

General Method B. A solution of bromide 7a-c and carboxylic acid $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $\mathbf{4 b}$ was treated with DIPEA in toluene at reflux for 40 h . Afterwards, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and filtered through a plug of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated, and the crude material was flash chromatographed to afford the product.

Synthesis of 2-(3-((R)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-((S)-1-(3,3-di-methyl-2-oxopentanoyl)piperidine-2-carbonyloxy)propyl)phenoxy)acetic Acid (2a). The compound was prepared as described previously. ${ }^{17}$

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Alkyl-1-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)cyclohexanol (9). The THF solution of the lithium reagent was generated by treating trimethylsilylacetylene ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(2 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 11.6 mL$)$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 0.5 h at that temperature. To this, a solution of 2alkylcyclohexanone $(\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{c})(17.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for an additional 2 h . Then, the solution was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solution was concentrated and then flash chromatographed using hexane:EtOAc 9:1 to afford each of the two diastereomers $\mathbf{9 a - f}$.
(1S,2R)-2-Methyl-1-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)cyclohexanol and (1R,2S)-2-Methyl-1-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)cyclohexanol (9a). Compound 9a (1.2 g, 33\%) was obtained from 8a ( 2 g ) as a colorless liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc 9:1): $R_{f}=0.36 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.17(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{p}), 1.95-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $=0.01,16.00,21.06,25.02,29.15,39.15,40.48,69.77,86.95,110.61$. HRMS: $193.1390[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$; calculated, $193.1413[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$.
(1S,2R)-2-Ethyl-1-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)cyclohexanol and (1R,2S)-2-Ethyl-1-((trimethyl silyl)ethynyl)cyclohexanol (9b). Compound $9 \mathbf{b}(1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%)$ was obtained from $\mathbf{8 b}(2.2 \mathrm{~g})$ as a colorless liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc 9:1): $R_{f}=0.40 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.17(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.024,11.68,12.30,21.23,22.88$, 24.80, 25.46, 28.00, 33.28, 39.47, 41.94, 47.47, 52.31, 70.32, 87.09, 110.85. HRMS: 208.2069 [M - OH ${ }^{+}$; calculated, 208.1569 [ $\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Alkyl-1-(bromoethynyl)cyclohexanol (10). To a solution of $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}(1.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{N}$ bromosuccinimide $(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the resulting solution was stirred in darkness for 2 h at room temperature. Acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the solids were removed by filtration through a Celite pad (washing with ether). The combined organic phase were concentrated and subjected to purification by column chromatography using hexane:EtOAc 9:1 to yield 10a-e as yellow liquids.
(1S,2R)-1-(Bromoethynyl)-2-methylcyclohexanol and (1R,2S)-1-(Bromoethynyl)-2-methylcyclohexanol (10a). Compound 10a (256 $\mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ was obtained from 9a $(273 \mathrm{mg})$ as a yellow liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc 9:1): $R_{f}=0.30 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=1.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=16.05,20.94,24.95,29.09,39.17,40.52$, 43.04, 70.79, 84.60. HRMS: $m / z$ 199.0193, 201.0169 [M - OH $]^{+}$; calculated, 199.0122, $201.0102[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$.
(1S,2R)-1-(Bromoethynyl)-2-ethylcyclohexanol and (1R,2S)-1-(Bromoethynyl)-2-ethylcyclohexanol (10b). Compound 10b (264 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) was obtained from $9 \mathbf{~ b}(292 \mathrm{mg})$ as a yellow liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc 9:1): $R_{f}=0.36 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ 12.17, 21.10, 23.03, 24.81, 25.35, 39.55, 43.17, 47.78, 71.39, 84.74. HRMS: $m / z$ 213.0268, 215.0245 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$; calculated, 213.0279, 215.0259 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-(1-Hydroxy-2-alkylcyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetic Acid (11a-e). To the above synthesized $\alpha$-ketoesters was added 1 M LiOH in $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$, and the reaction was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction was acidified to pH 2 by the addition of 1 M HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish the free acid 11a-e as an oily liquid.

2-((1S,2R)-1-Hydroxy-2-methylcyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetic Acid and 2-((1R,2S)-1-Hydroxy-2-methylcyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetic Acid (11a). Compound 11a ( 105 mg , overall yield for two steps $52 \%$ ) was obtained from 10a ( 235 mg ) as a oily liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc:TFA 9:1:0.1): $R_{f}=0.28 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.78(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=16.32,20.32,25.46,29.41,35.12,36.57,81.55$, 162.81, 200.53.

2-((1S,2R)-2-Ethyl-1-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetic Acid and 2-((1R,2S)-2-Ethyl-1-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetic Acid (11b). Compound $11 \mathrm{~b}(141 \mathrm{mg}$, overall yield for two steps $65 \%)$ was obtained from 10b $(250 \mathrm{mg})$ as a oily liquid. TLC (hexane:EtOAc:TFA 9:1:0.1): $R_{f}=0.26 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.83(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=11.82,20.39,23.95,25.08,25.46$, 35.32, 43.14, 82.20, 164.12, 201.23.

General Procedure for Coupling of 12a-d with 11a-e To Yield $3 a^{*}-3 j^{*}$. To a stirred solution of the free amines (12a-d) in acetonitrile under argon was added sequentially $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), HATU, and the diketoacids (11a-e). The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added to the reaction, and the solution was stirred for 10 min . The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the residual solid was purified by column chromatogrpahy.

Synthesis of 2-(3-((R)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-((S)-1-(2-((1S,2R)-1-hydroxy-2-methylcyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetyl)piperidine-2carbonyloxy)propyl)phenoxy)acetic Acid and 2-(3-((R)-3-(3,4-Dime-thoxyphenyl)-1-((S)-1-(2-((1R,2S)-1-hydroxy-2-methylcyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetyl)piperidine-2-carbonyloxy)propyl)phenoxy)acetic Acid ( $3 a *$ ). Compound $3 a^{*}$ ester $(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $20 \%$ TFA in DCM at room temperature. The mixture was allowed to stir for 6 h . TFA and DCM were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the free acid $3 a^{*}$ ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ).

TLC (hexane:EtOAc:TFA 6:3.9: 0.1): $R_{f}=0.33$. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=24.6-25.1 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $0.82-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-$ $2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.74-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-$ $6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=16.3,20.16,20.87,24.79,25.29,26.55,29.30$, 31.35, 35.59, 37.60, 39.45, 44.28, 51.92, 55.87, 55.92, 65.07, 76.86, 82.24, 111.37, 111.70, 115.71, 116.21, 119.71, 120.20, 129.90, 133.21, 141.51, 147.41, 148.89, 157.74, 167.39, 169.20, 171.63, 205.23. MS (ESI) $m / z$ : found $R_{\mathrm{t}} 13.88 \mathrm{~min}$. Method LCMS, $648.45[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HRMS: $626.2902[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calculated, $626.2887[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. The diasteromeric mixture was further separated using preparative HPLC gradient $62-77 \%$ B for 35 min to yield diasteromer 3a-1 $(6 \mathrm{mg})$ and 3a-2 (9 mg).

3a-1. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=24.6-24.8 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.82(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38-1.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.74-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-$ $2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ $(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.64-3.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.74-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=16.15,20.18,21.06,24.79,25.27,26.52,29.68$, 31.41, 35.57, 36.61, 37.64, 44.18, 51.88, 55.86, 55.92, 63.81, 81,38, $111.35,111.68,115.65,115.66,119.54,120.16,129.85,133.19,141.53$, 147.45, 148.93, 157.92, 167.57, 169.26, 169.26, 205.46. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : found $R_{\mathrm{t}} 13.87 \mathrm{~min}$. Method LCMS, $648.40[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; calculated, $648.45[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} .{ }^{21} \alpha_{\mathrm{D}}=-2.62^{\circ}$.

3a-2. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=24.9-25.1 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=0.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38-1.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.77-6.94 (m, 4H), 7.21-7.24 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=16.15,20.21,20.94,24.82,25.31,26.40,29.68,31.35$, $35.31,36.72,37.15,42.16,43.25,44.25,44.54,46.53,48.81,51.75$, 55.86, 55.92, 56.79, 63.84, 81.66, 111.34, 111.70, 115.51, 119.59, 120.17, 129.82, 133.32, 141.58, 147.41, 148.91, 157.91, 167.37, 169.34, 205.95. MS (ESI) $m / z$ : found $R_{t} 13.91 \mathrm{~min}$. Method LCMS, 648.31 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; calculated, $648.45[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} .{ }^{21} \alpha_{\mathrm{D}}=+0.31^{\circ}$.

Synthesis of 2-(3-((R)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-((S)-1-(2-((1S,2R)-2-ethyl-1-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetyl)piperidine-2carbonyloxy)propyl)phenoxy)acetic Acid and 2-(3-((R)-3-(3,4-Dime-thoxyphenyl)-1-((S)-1-(2-((1R,2S)-2-ethyl-1-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-oxoacetyl)piperidine-2-carbonyloxy)propyl)phenoxy)acetic Acid ( $3 f^{*}$ ). Compound $3 \mathrm{f}^{*}$ ester ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $20 \%$ TFA in DCM at room temperature. The mixture was allowed to stir for 6 h . TFA and DCM were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the free acid $3 \mathrm{f}^{*}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).

TLC (hexane:EtOAc:TFA 1:1:0.2): $R_{f}=0.45$. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=25.3-25.9 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ESI) $m / z$ : found $R_{\mathrm{t}} 15.93 \mathrm{~min}$. Method LCMS, $662.63[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. HRMS: $640.3739[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calculated, $640.3043[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. The diasteromeric mixture was further separated using preparative HPLC gradient $65-70 \%$ B for 15 min to yield diasteromer $\mathbf{3 f - 1}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{3 f - 2}(7 \mathrm{mg})$.

3f-1. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=25.3-25.5 \mathrm{~min}$. HRMS: $640.3773[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calculated, $640.3043[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3f-2. HPLC (gradient A) retention time $=25.7-25.9 \mathrm{~min}$. HRMS: $640.3764[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calculated, $640.3043[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Crystallography. Crystals and cocrystals of the FKBP51 Fk1 domain construct comprising residues $16-140$ and containing mutation A19T were obtained as previously described. ${ }^{18}$ Diffraction data were collected at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France. The data were processed with MOSFLM ${ }^{40}$ and XDS, ${ }^{41}$ SCALA, ${ }^{42}$ and TRUNCATE. ${ }^{43}$ The crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement employing the
program MOLREP. ${ }^{44}$ The dictionaries for the ligand compounds were generated with the PRODRG server. ${ }^{45}$ The structures were refined with REFMAC. ${ }^{46}$ Manual model building was performed with COOT. ${ }^{47}$ Molecular graphics figures were generated using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org).
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